SS-734-16 Practitioner's Docket No.

PATENT

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paul W. McBurney, et al.

Application No.: 10 / 656,078

Group No.: 3662

Filed:

09/04/2003

Examiner:

T. Blum

For: COMBINATION NAVIGATION SATELLITE RECEIVERS AND COMMUNICATIONS DEVICES

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

WARNING: Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

#### **STATUS**

2. Applicant is	
☐ a small entity. A statement:	
☐ is attached.	
☐ was already filed.	
☑ other than a small entity.	
(When using Express Mail, the	R 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.)
I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, thi	s correspondence is being:
	MAILING
deposited with the United States Postal Service Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexa	ce in an envelope addressed to ndria, VA 22313-1450
37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
☑ with sufficient postage as first class mail.	as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"
	Mailing Label No (mandatory)
TR/	Ansmission
☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Traden	nark Office, (798)
	hlalus I Golm !-
Date: 09/09/2004	Signature
Date:	DebraaL. Czapenski
	(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-

<sup>\*</sup> Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

#### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "... an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity		
<ul><li>☑ one month</li><li>☐ two months</li><li>☐ three months</li><li>☐ four months</li></ul>	\$ 110.00 \$ 420.00 \$ 950.00 \$ 1,480.00	\$ 55.00 \$ 210.00 \$ 475.00 \$ 740.00		

Fee: \$110.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for months has already paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total months of extension now requested.	been secured. The fee al fee due for the total
Extension fee due with this request	\$110.00
·	

**OR** 

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 2 of 4)

# FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. TI	ne f	ee for clain	ns (37 C	.F.R.	§ 1.10	6(b)-(	d)) h	as b	een ca	lculate	ed as	sh	own be	elov	v:				
		(Col. 1)			(Col. 2)		(Col.	. 3)	SMAL	L ENTI	TY		OTHER SMALL						
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER MENDMENT		PR	GHEST N EVIOUSI AID FOF	_Y	PRES		RATE	ADE FE		OR.	RATE		DIT.	-			
TOTAL	•	9 .	MINUS	**	20		= (	)	x\$9=	\$			x\$18=	\$	0	-			
INDEP.	٠	. 2	MINUS	***	3	:	= (	)	x\$43=	\$			x\$86=	\$	0	-			
□. FIRS	T PF	RESENTATION	OF MUL	TIPLE	DEP. C	LAIM	. (	)	+\$145=	\$		<u>-</u>	+\$290=	\$	0	<b>-</b> :			
								ADI	TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	C	PR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	,	0	<del>-</del> 			
WAR	box i	"Highest No. I in Col. 1 of a G: "After fina with any r	prior ame I rejection	ndme or act	nt or the ion (§ 1.	numb 113) ai	er of mendi	clain ments	ns origina s <i>may be i</i>	lly filed made d	l. :ancelli	ng c	laims or	com	plying				
			(6	comp	olete (c	) or (	'd), a	s ap	plicable	∍)									
(c)	KX	No additi	onal fee	for e	claims	is red	quire	d.											
						0	Ŕ			•									
(d)		Total add	litional fe	e fo	r claim	s rec	uire	d \$_	<del> </del>	;		<b>-</b> •							
					FEI	E PA	YMI	ENT	•		· .								
	Att	ached is a	☐ che	ck	☐ mor	пеу о	rder	in	the am	ount :	of \$ _					_			
<b>[X]</b>		thorization to Depos			_	charg 9-03		e ar	nount c	of \$ <u>1</u>	10.0	0	– One	<u>M</u> c	nth	E	xte	nsion	Fe
		to Credit form PTC		sho	wn on	the a	ttacl	ned	credit c	ard in	nform	atic	n auth	oriz	atio	n			
WAF	NIN	G: Credit car	rd informa	tion sl	hould <b>no</b>	t be ir	nclude	ed on	this form	as it	may be	econ	ne public	2.					
		arge any ao				ed by	/ this	s pa	per or c	redit	any o	ver	payme	nt i	n the	е	•		
	A	duplicate o	f this pa	per i	is attac	hed.	٠												
											•								

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 3 of 4)

## FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. 図 If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. \_\_19-0310

### AND/OR

X	If any additional fee for claims is	required,	charge Account
	No19-0310		

Dated: 09/09/2004

Reg. No.: 22,611

Tel. No.: (408 ) 358-7733 Fax No.: (408) 358-7720

Customer No.:

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

THOMAS E. SCHATZEL

(type or print name of practitioner)

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS E. SCHATZEL A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 16400 LARK AVENUE, SUITE 240 LOS GATOS, CA 95032 (408) 358-7733

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]—page 4 of 4)



### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Paul W. McBurney Group Art Unit : 3662

Serial No.: 10/656,078 Examiner: T. Blum

Filed : 09/04/2003 Attorneys

Docket No.: SS-734-16

For: COMBINATION NAVIGATION SATELLITE

RECEIVERS AND COMMUNICATIONS DEVICES

Date of this Paper:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 September 9, 2004

### AMENDMENT

In response to the Patent Office Action mailed 06/02/2004 (Paper No. 1), the following amendments and remarks are offered.

09/15/2004 MAHMED1 00000046 190310 10656078 01 FC:1251 110.00 DA